Tuesday, 10:00 – 11:30, A1

What's New in Genetics

Helga V. Toriello helga.toriello@spectrumhealth.org

Objective:

Identify advances in clinical assessment and management of selected healthcare issues related to persons with developmental disabilities

Notes:





UNDIAGNOSED PATIENT

- Genetic disorders are individually rare, but cumulatively affect 25 million in the US alone
- Harder for physicians to recognize most of these rare disorders; initial findings non-specific, e.g., weight loss, growth failure, fatigue, fever
- Tendency is to make diagnosis of common disorder rather than rare disorder

UNDIAGNOSED PATIENT

- Undiagnosed diseases remain undiagnosed if incorrectly diagnosed as something else. example.
- Problem if wrong diagnosis persists wrong treatment, wrong natural history, evaluations which are unnecessary are done and vice versa
- Clinic experience with the "why isn't this child deceased yet?" referrals





DISEASE PROCESS

- "diseases do not read the textbooks"
- Individuals with periodic fever syndromes do not have fever
- Individuals with hyper-IgD have normal levels of IgD



PHYSICIAN SPECIFIC • Two rules – expect the unexpected, AND never say never • Wrong things to say – • "I have never seen this symptom in this disease" • "It can't be this" • "It must be this" • Once diagnosis in record, it becomes fixed –

PHYSICIAN SPECIFIC

- Might seek symptoms which support the diagnosis, ignores those which don't
- Called confirmation bias especially problematic if disease is one senior physician is an expert
- E.g., the case of "C syndrome"
- Especially if rare, only have a few patients on whom to base the phenotype







- others primarily skeletal manifestations
 12% of patients not meeting criteria have mutation;
- 12% of patients not meeting criteria have mutation;
 66% who do meet criteria do not have mutation























BENEFIT'S OF MICROARRAY

- With greater resolution, identification of new syndromes
 - Example: del 1q43q44 (microcephaly, lack of speech, minor facial and limb anomalies)
- · Consolidation of previously distinct syndromes
 - E.g.,Shprintzen syndrome, velocardiofacial syndrome, diGeorge syndrome, and some cases of Opitz BBB syndrome
- · Recognition of deletion and duplication syndromes at same site e.g., 22q deletion and 22q duplication

ISSUES WITH MICROARRAY

- · Reduced penetrance and variable expressivity
- 16p11.2 (60% penetrance)
- 15q11.2 (10% penetrance) • 1q21.1 (TAR syndrome only if additional variant present)
- For some of these, there may still be some phenotypic effects, e.g., obesity, reduced fertility, other



CLINICAL UTILITY

- Now first tier test for ID, autism and MCA
- · Cannot find balanced translocations; but we now know many are in fact unbalanced
- Still do karyotype to detect parental balanced however

DETECTION RATE

- Depends on phenotype and array:
- Higher in cardiovascular or craniofacial than in those with epilepsy or autom
- Combinations higher anomaly rate e.g. epilepsy and ID higher than epilepsy alone
- Those with ASD, associated ID, congenital anomaly, or dysmorphic features
- Array type
- SNP also detect areas of homozygosity consanguinity or UPD
- · Number of probes and placement can increase detection
- · However, also more likely to find benign CNV

INCREASING LAB EXPERIENCE

- · Databases know what is benign and what isn't
- Better interpret meaning of those inherited from an unaffected parent - reduced penetrance versus benign CNV

MANAGEMENT

- Might find coincidental variant which affects management
- CNV contains gene which has relevant management issues
- Other issues parental mosaicism more common than thought – increased recurrence risk, but technology not quite there to identify it on a parental blood sample

WHAT CAN EACH TEST TELL YOU?				
Condition	Karyotype	FISH	Oligo Array	SNP Array
Aneuploidy	Yes	Yes*	Yes	Yes
Deletion or Duplication syndrome	Usually no	Yes*	Yes	Yes
Mosaicism	Yes, to a certain level	Yes*	Yes	Yes
Homozygosity	No	No	No	Yes
Balanced translocation	Yes	Yes	No	No
Cause of ID/DD	5%	Subtelomeres 8%	14-15%	18% +

























CHOOSING THE RIGHT TEST

- <u>Single-gene tests</u>
- Ideal for conditions known to be caused by a single gene, e.g., cystic fibrosis
- Efficient, high sensitivity and specificity
- Uses Sanger sequencing highly accurate, but time consuming

CHOOSING THE RIGHT TEST

- Gene panels
- Best for conditions with locus heterogeneity
- Not quite as good at finding all mutations; might also need to do Sanger to verify or cover regions not covered by panel
- Greater chance of finding VUS
- · Inclusion of genes on panels varies from lab to lab









WHAT ARE THE COSTS?

- In general, Sanger sequencing is more accurate, but also more costly if done on each gene individually
- Panels are becoming a good alternative to Sanger sequencing, although there is still a little bit less accuracy involved
- Currently, WES is around \$4600-\$5000, and rapidly coming down in cost.





































