

Section 11. Obtaining Informed Consent from Research Subjects

No investigator conducting research conducted at, under the auspices of, or using the services or resources of the medical school may involve a human being as a subject in research without obtaining the legally effective informed consent of the subject or the subject's legally authorized representative unless a waiver of consent has been approved by the IRB in accordance with Section 11.9 of these procedures. Except as provided in Sections 11.10 and 11.11 of these procedures, informed consent must be documented by the use of a written consent form approved by the IRB.

The IRB evaluates both the consent process and the procedures for documenting informed consent to ensure that adequate informed consent is obtained from participants.

The following procedures describe the requirements for obtaining consent from participants in research conducted at, under the auspices of, or using the services or resources of the medical school.

11.1 Definitions

- **Legally Authorized Representative:** A legally authorized representative is an individual or body authorized under applicable law to provide permission on behalf of a prospective subject to the subject's participation in the procedure(s) involved in the research. For the purposes of this policy, a legally authorized representative includes legal guardians.
- **Legal guardian:** A person appointed by a court of appropriate jurisdiction.

11.2 Basic Requirements

The requirement to obtain the legally effective informed consent of individuals before involving them in research is one of the central protections provided for by the Federal regulations and the medical school IRB. Investigators are required to obtain legally effective informed consent from a subject or the subject's Legally Authorized Representative unless the requirement has been waived by the IRB. When informed consent is required, it must be sought prospectively, and properly documented.

The informed consent process involves three key features: (1) disclosing to the prospective human subject information needed to make an informed decision; (2) facilitating the understanding of what has been disclosed; and (3) promoting the voluntariness of the decision about whether or not to participate in the Research.

Informed consent is more than just a signature on a form. It is a process of information exchange to include reading, discussing, providing answers to any questions, and obtaining signature on the consent document. The informed consent process is the critical communication link between the prospective Human Subject and an

Investigator, beginning with the initial approach of an Investigator and continuing through the completion of the research. Those obtaining informed consent must have received the appropriate training and be knowledgeable about the study in order that they may answer questions to help provide understanding to the study participant or potential study participant. The exchange of information between those obtaining informed consent and study participant can occur via one or more of the following modes of communication, among others; face to face dialogue; mail; telephone; or fax; however, obtaining informed consent must allow for a dialogue so that the potential subject has the opportunity to ask questions and receive responses. Those obtaining consent must do so prior to entering a subject into a study, gathering data about a subject, and/or conducting any procedures required by the protocol/research plan, unless consent is waived by the IRB.

If someone other than the investigator conducts the interview and obtains consent, the investigator needs to formally delegate this responsibility, and the person so delegated must have received appropriate training to perform this activity. The person so delegated must be knowledgeable about the research to be conducted and the consenting process, and must have the expertise be able to answer questions about the study including those regarding risks, procedures, and alternatives.

Sample or draft consent documents may be developed by a sponsor, lead investigator, or coordinating center. However, the IRB-of-record is the final authority on the content of the consent document that is presented to the prospective study subjects.

These informed consent requirements are not intended to preempt any applicable federal, state, or local laws that require additional information to be disclosed for informed consent to be legally effective.

11.3 Informed Consent Process

Informed consent must be obtained under the following circumstances:

- Informed consent may only be obtained from subjects who have the legal and mental capacity to give consent. For subjects without that capacity, permission must be obtained from a legal guardian or a legally authorized representative.
- The informed consent process provides the prospective subject (or legally authorized representative) with sufficient opportunity to read the consent document, if applicable.
- The informed consent process shall be sought under circumstances that provide the subject (or legally authorized representative) with sufficient opportunity to consider whether or not to participate.
- The informed consent process shall be sought under circumstances that minimize the possibility of coercion or undue influence.
- The informed consent information must be presented in language that is understandable to the subject (or legally authorized representative). To the extent possible, the language should be understandable by a person who is educated to

eighth-grade level and layman's terms shall be used in the description of the research.

- For subjects with limited fluency in the English language, informed consent must be obtained in a language that is understandable to the subject (or the subject's legally authorized representative). In accordance with this policy, the IRB requires that informed consent discussions include a reliable interpreter when the prospective subject does not understand the language of the person who is obtaining consent.
- The informed consent process may not include any exculpatory language through which the subject is made to waive, or appear to waive any of the subject's legal rights or through which the investigators, the sponsor, the organization where research activities take place, or medical school employees or agents are released from liability for negligence, or appear to be so released.
- The investigator is responsible for ensuring that each prospective subject is adequately informed about all aspects of the research and understands the information provided.

11.4 Determining a Potential Adult Subject's Ability to Consent to Research

For the purpose of this section, a subject has the capacity to consent to his or her own participation in a research activity if s/he demonstrates an appreciation:

- That the activity is research.
- Of the risks and benefits of a study.
- Of the study procedures and requirements.
- Of the alternatives that are available if not participating.
- That, by choosing not to participate, this decision will be accepted without penalty.

In reaching a decision about participation, it is essential for the potential subject to demonstrate an ability to use this information in a rational manner. Thus, in considering risks, benefits, and available alternatives, subjects must show they understand the aspects of these factors that are unique to them as individuals. See Section 12.8 for further discussion regarding adults who cannot consent for themselves.

The decision-making capacity of a potential research subject should be evaluated when there are reasons to believe that the subject may not be capable of making voluntary and informed decisions about research participation.

The investigator and research staff must have adequate procedures in place for assessing and ensuring subjects' capacity, understanding, and informed consent or assent. The IRB will evaluate whether the proposed plan to assess capacity to consent is adequate including consideration of state and local law and organizational policy. It is often possible for investigators and others to enable persons with some decisional impairments to make voluntary and informed decisions to consent, assent, or refuse participation in research. Potential measures include repetitive teaching, group sessions,

audiovisual presentations, and oral or written recall tests. Other measures might include follow-up questions to assess subject understanding, videotaping or audio-taping of consent interviews, second opinions, use of independent consent observers, allowing a waiting period before enrollment, or involvement of a trusted family member or friend in the disclosure and decision making process.

Both investigators and IRB members must be aware that for some subjects, their decision-making capacity may fluctuate. For subjects with fluctuating decision-making capacity or those with decreasing capacity to provide consent, periodic reevaluation of capacity and re-consent or consent for continuing participation by a legally authorized representative may be necessary.

In the event that research participants lose or become impaired in decision-making capacity after enrollment, and this is not anticipated in the protocol/research plan, the investigator is responsible for notifying the IRB. The investigator is responsible for developing a plan for the IRB's consideration which follows the guidelines outlined above for persons with fluctuating or diminishing capacity.

Whenever the participants have the capacity to give consent (as determined by qualified professionals), informed consent should be obtained and documented in accordance with Section 11.6 above. When participants lack the capacity to give consent, investigators may obtain consent from the legally authorized representative of a subject as described in Section 12.8.

When assent is possible for some or all subjects, the investigator should provide the IRB with an assent plan that describes when and how assent will be obtained, provisions that will be taken to promote understanding and voluntariness, and how assent will be documented. Under no circumstances may subjects be forced or coerced to participate.

If the investigator plans to use audio or videotapes, computer video presentations, or written materials, to promote understanding, these materials must be provided to the IRB for review. If the investigator intends to use audio or video recordings to document assent, provisions to ensure the security of the recordings should be described to the IRB. If the investigator will use an assent form to document assent, this must be submitted to the IRB for review. All materials must be approved by the IRB prior to use.

11.5 Basic Elements of Informed Consent

To be valid, the consent process must provide the following basic elements of information to potential subjects:

- A statement that the study involves research, an explanation of the purposes of the research, the expected duration of the subject's participation, a description of the procedures to be followed, and identification of any procedures which are experimental.
- A description of any reasonably foreseeable risks or discomforts to the subject.

- A description of any benefits to the subject or to others which may reasonably be expected from the research.
- A disclosure of appropriate alternative procedures or courses of treatment, if any, that might be advantageous to the subject.
- A statement describing the extent, if any, to which confidentiality of records identifying the subject must be maintained.
- For research involving more than minimal risk, an explanation as to whether any compensation and an explanation as to whether any medical treatments are available if injury occurs and, if so, what they consist of, or where further information may be obtained.
- An explanation of whom to contact for answers to pertinent questions about the research and research subjects' rights, and whom to contact in the event of a research-related injury to the subject.
- A statement that participation is voluntary, refusal to participate will involve no penalty or loss of benefits to which the subject is otherwise entitled, and the subject may discontinue participation at any time without penalty or loss of benefits to which the subject is otherwise entitled.
- For FDA-regulated studies, a statement that notes the possibility that the Food and Drug Administration may inspect the records.
- For "applicable" FDA-regulated clinical trials, the following statement must be included verbatim.

"A description of this clinical trial will be available at [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov), as required by U.S. law. This website will not include information that can identify you. At most, the website will include a summary of the study results. You can search this website at any time."

In general, "applicable" clinical trials mean controlled clinical investigations, other than Phase 1 clinical investigations, of a drug or biologic; and prospective clinical studies of health outcomes comparing an intervention with a device against a control (other than (i) small clinical trials to determine the feasibility of a device; (ii) a clinical trial to test prototype devices where the primary outcome measure relates to feasibility and not to health outcomes; or (iii) mandated pediatric post market surveillance activities).

Additional elements of informed consent to be applied, as appropriate:

- A statement that the particular treatment or procedure may involve risks to the subject (or to the embryo or fetus, if the subject is or may become pregnant) which are currently unforeseeable.
- Anticipated circumstances under which the subject's participation may be terminated by the investigator without regard to the subject's consent.
- Any additional costs to the subject that may result from participation in the research.
- The consequences of a subject's decision to withdraw from the research and procedures for orderly termination of participation by the subject.

- A statement that significant new findings developed during the course of the research which may relate to the subject's willingness to continue participation will be provided to the subject.
- The approximate number of subjects involved in the study.

11.6 Documentation of Informed Consent

Except as provided in Section 11.10 of this document, informed consent must be documented by the use of an IRB approved written consent form.

- Informed consent is documented by the use of a written consent form approved by the IRB and signed and dated by the subject or the subject's legally-authorized representative at the time of consent
- A copy of the signed and dated consent form must be given to the person signing the form and, as appropriate, their legally-authorized representative. The investigator should retain the signed original in the research records.
- The consent form may be either of the following:
 - A written consent document that embodies the basic and required additional elements of informed consent. The consent form may be read to the subject or the subject's legally authorized representative, but the subject or representative must be given adequate opportunity to read it before it is signed.
 - A short form written consent document stating that the elements of informed consent have been presented orally to the subject or the subject's legally-authorized representative.

When the short form written consent procedure is used, all of the following must be met:

- The IRB must approve a written summary of what is to be said to the subject (the approved full consent document may serve as this summary).
- The oral presentation and the short form written document should be in a language understandable to the subject.
- There must be a witness to the oral presentation.
- The short form document is signed by the subject.
- The witness must sign both the short form and a copy of the summary.
- The person actually obtaining consent must sign a copy of the summary.
- A copy of the summary must be given to the subject or representative, in addition to a copy of the short form.

When this procedure is used with subjects who do not speak, or read, English, or have limited proficiency in oral or written English, all of the following apply:

- The oral presentation and the short form written document should be in a language understandable to the subject.
- The IRB-approved English language informed consent document may serve as the summary
- The witness should be fluent in both English and the language of the subject. When the person obtaining consent is assisted by an interpreter, the interpreter may serve as the witness.

The IRB must receive all foreign language versions of the short form document as a condition of approval. Expedited review of these versions is acceptable if the protocol/research plan, the full English language informed consent document, and the English version of the short form document have already been approved by the convened IRB.

11.7 Special Consent Circumstances

11.7.1 Enrollment of Persons with Limited English-language Proficiency

11.7.1.1 Expected Enrollment

In some studies, the investigator may be able to anticipate enrollment of persons who do not speak or read, or have limited proficiency in, oral or written English. When the target subject population includes such persons or the investigator and/or the IRB otherwise anticipates that consent will be conducted in a language other than English, the IRB requires a translated consent document, and other subject materials, to be prepared. In order to ensure that translated documents are accurate, the IRB may choose to require a certified translation, to have an independent back-translation, or to have a review of the translated documents by an IRB member or other person who is fluent in that language. When non-English speaking subjects enroll, they and a witness sign the translated consent document. The subjects are given a copy of the signed translated consent document.

11.7.1.2 Unexpected Enrollment

If a person who does not speak or read, or has limited proficiency in, English presents for possible enrollment, an IRB-approved translated version of the written consent may not be available for use. Investigators should carefully consider the ethical and legal ramifications of enrolling subjects when a language barrier exists. If the subject does not clearly understand the information presented during the consent process or in subsequent discussions, his/her consent may not be informed, and therefore, not effective.

If an investigator decides to enroll a subject into a study for which there is not an extant IRB-approved consent document in the prospective subject's language, the investigator must receive IRB approval to follow the procedures for a “short form” written consent in as described in Section 11.6.

11.7.1.3 Use of interpreters in the consent process

Unless the person obtaining consent is fluent in the prospective subject's language, an interpreter will be necessary to facilitate the consent discussion. Preferably someone who is independent of the subject (ie, not a family member) should assist in presenting information and obtaining consent. Whenever possible, interpreters should be provided copies of the translated consent, or short form and the IRB-approved consent script (typically the English-language version of the consent document) generally 24-48 hours, if possible, before the consent discussion with the subject. If the interpreter also serves as the witness, the interpreter may sign the translated consent, or short form consent document and script, as the witness and should note "Interpreter" under the signature line. The person obtaining consent must document that the "short form" process was used in the subject's research record, including the name of the interpreter.

11.7.2 Braille Consent

The IRB may approve a consent document prepared in Braille for use by blind subjects who read Braille. In order to assure itself that a Braille consent document is accurate, the IRB may require a transcription into printed text, or review of the document by an IRB member or other person who reads Braille. If possible, the subject signs the Braille consent; otherwise oral consent is obtained, witnessed, and documented as described under "Oral Consent" (see Section 11.7.4).

11.7.3 Consenting in American Sign Language (ASL)

The IRB may approve a consent process using ASL and the IRB-approved written consent form for deaf subjects who are fluent in ASL. When this process is approved, the individual authorized to consent prospective subjects must use a certified interpreter fluent in ASL to conduct the consent process and the documentation of the consent process must conform to the requirements set forth in Section 11.6.

11.7.4 Oral Consent

When subjects are unable to read a written consent form (such as blind or illiterate subjects), the IRB may approve an oral consent process, provided the subject (1) retains the ability to understand the concepts of the study and evaluate the risk and benefit of being in the study when it is explained orally and (2) is able to indicate approval or disapproval to study entry.

For research that is no more than minimal risk, documentation of consent may be waived according to the criteria in Section 11.9.

For greater than minimal risk research, the consent form must be read to the subjects and the subjects must be given an opportunity to ask questions. An audiotope approved by the IRB may also be used. If capable of doing so, the subject signs, or marks an "X" to signify consent. If that is not possible, the subject will provide oral consent. The person obtaining consent and a witness will sign the written study consent form with a

statement that documents that an oral process was used and, if necessary, that the subject gave oral consent. The consent process will also be documented in the subject's research record. Signed copies of the consent form are given to the subject and, whenever possible, these documents should be provided to the subject by audio or video recordings.

11.8 Subject Withdrawal or Termination

For a variety of reasons, a subject enrolled in a research study may decide to withdraw from the research, or an investigator or sponsor may decide to terminate a subject's participation in research regardless of whether the subject wishes to continue participating. Investigators must plan for the possibility that subjects will withdraw from research and include a discussion of what withdrawal will mean and how it will be managed in their research protocols/research plans and consent documents.

When seeking informed consent from subjects, the following information regarding data retention and use must be included:

- For FDA-regulated clinical trials, when a subject withdraws from a study, the data collected on the subject to the point of withdrawal remain part of the study database and may not be removed. The consent document cannot give the subject the option of having data removed.
- For research not subject to FDA regulations, the investigator should inform subjects whether the investigator intends to either: (1) retain and analyze already collected data relating to the subject up to the time of subject withdrawal; or (2) honor a research subject's request that the investigator destroy the subject's data or that the investigator exclude the subject's data from any analysis.

When a subject's withdrawal request is partial (eg, limited to discontinuation of the primary interventional component of a research study), research activities involving other types of participation for which the subject previously gave consent may continue. Investigators should ask a subject who is withdrawing whether the subject wishes to provide continued follow-up and further data collection subsequent to their withdrawal from the interventional portion of the study. Under this circumstance, the discussion with the subject would distinguish between study-related interventions and procedures and continued follow-up in person, by phone, or via records review, of data and address the maintenance of privacy and confidentiality of the subject's information.

If a subject withdraws from the interventional portion of the study, but agrees to continued follow-up as described in the previous paragraph, the investigator must obtain the subject's informed consent for this limited participation in the study (assuming such a situation was not described in the original consent document). IRB approval of consent documents for these purposes would be required.

If a subject withdraws from the interventional portion of a study and does not consent to continued follow-up, the investigator must not access or gather private information

about the subject for purposes related to the study. However, an investigator may review study data related to the subject collected prior to the subject's withdrawal from the study, and may consult public records, such as those establishing survival status.

11.9 Waiver of Informed Consent

An IRB may approve a consent procedure that does not include, or that alters, some or all of the elements of informed consent; or waive the requirements to obtain informed consent, provided the IRB finds and documents that all of the following apply:

- The research involves no more than minimal risk to the subjects.
- The waiver or alteration will not adversely affect the rights and welfare of the subjects.
- The research could not practicably be carried out without the waiver or alteration.
- Whenever appropriate, the subjects will be provided with additional pertinent information after participation.

In addition, an IRB may approve a consent procedure that does not include, or that alters, some or all of the elements of informed consent; or waive the requirements to obtain informed consent, provided the IRB finds and documents that one of the following apply:

- The research or demonstration project is to be conducted by or subject to the approval of state or local government officials and is designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine:
 - Public benefit or service programs.
 - Procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs.
 - Possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures.
 - Possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.
- The research could not practicably be carried out without the waiver or alteration.

FDA regulations do not provide for waivers of informed consent except in certain emergency situations. Additionally, waivers of consent are not permissible for federally-funded research using newborn blood Spots.

11.10 Waiver of Documentation of Informed Consent

The IRB may waive the requirement for the investigator to obtain a signed consent form for some or all subjects if it finds that either of the following apply:

- Only record linking the subject and the research would be the consent document and the principal risk would be potential harm resulting from a breach of confidentiality. Subjects must be asked whether they want documentation linking them with the research, and their wishes must govern. (Example: domestic

violence research where the primary risk is discovery by the abuser that the subject is talking to investigators.) In order to waive written documentation of consent where the only record linking the participant and the research would be the consent document, the IRB has to determine that the research was not FDA-regulated.

- The research presents no more than minimal risk of harm to subjects and involves no procedures for which written consent is normally required outside of the research context. The FDA does permit a waiver of documentation of consent if this condition is otherwise satisfied. This is most commonly applied in the context of minimal risk screening activities that are necessary to determine eligibility for enrollment in the full trial.

Unless the IRB has granted a full waiver of the requirement to obtain informed consent, investigators who seek and receive approval for a waiver of documentation of consent still must perform an adequate consent process.

In cases in which the documentation requirement is waived, the IRB requires the investigator to provide in the application materials a written summary of the information to be communicated to the subject in the application materials, and the IRB will consider whether to require the investigator to provide subjects with a written statement regarding the research.

11.11 Waiver of Informed Consent for Planned Emergency Research

The conduct of planned research in life-threatening emergencies where the requirement to obtain prospective informed consent has been waived by the IRB is covered by for FDA-regulated research and by the waiver articulated by DHHS at 61 FR 51531-33 for non-FDA-regulated research.

The FDA exception from informed consent requirements for emergency research under FDA regulations, , permits planned research in an emergency setting when human subjects who are in need of emergency medical intervention cannot provide legally effective informed consent themselves, and there is generally insufficient time and opportunity to locate and obtain consent from their legally authorized representatives.

The Secretary of Health and Human Services (DHHS) has implemented an Emergency Research Consent Waiver under (i) with provisions equivalent to those of the FDA with the exception of the requirements specified in Sections 11.11.2.1 and 11.11.2.2 below. The DHHS waiver is not applicable to research involving prisoners, pregnant women, fetuses, or in vitro fertilization.

11.11.1 Definitions

- **Planned Emergency Research:** It is research that involves subjects who, are in a life-threatening situation for which available therapies or diagnostics are unproven or unsatisfactory, and because of the subjects' medical condition and

the unavailability of legally authorized representatives of the subjects, it is generally not possible to obtain legally effective informed consent.

- **Family Member:** For this section Family Member means any one of the following adult and legally competent persons: spouses; parents; children (including adopted children); brothers, sisters, and spouses of brothers and sisters; and any individual related by blood or affinity whose close association with the subject is the equivalent of a family relationship.

11.11.2 Procedures

The IRB may approve the planned emergency research without requiring informed consent of all research subjects prior to initiating the research intervention if the IRB finds and documents that the following conditions have been met:

- 1) The human subjects are in a life-threatening situation, available treatments are unproven or unsatisfactory, and the collection of valid scientific evidence, which may include evidence obtained through randomized placebo-controlled investigations, is necessary to determine the safety and effectiveness of particular interventions.
- 2) Obtaining informed consent is not feasible because of all of the following:
 - a. The subjects will not be able to give their informed consent as a result of their medical condition.
 - b. The intervention under investigation must be administered before consent from the subjects' legally authorized representatives is feasible.
 - c. There is no reasonable way to identify prospectively the individuals likely to become eligible for participation in the research.
- 3) Participation in the research holds out the prospect of direct benefit to the subjects because of all of the following:
 - a. Subjects are facing a life-threatening situation that necessitates intervention.
 - b. Appropriate animal and other preclinical studies have been conducted, and the information derived from those studies and related evidence support the potential for the intervention to provide a direct benefit to the individual subjects.
 - c. Risks associated with the research are reasonable in relation to what is known about the medical condition of the potential class of subjects, the risks and benefits of standard therapy, if any, and what is known about the risks and benefits of the proposed intervention or activity.
- 4) The research could not practicably be carried out without the waiver.
- 5) The proposed protocol/research plan defines the length of the potential therapeutic window based on scientific evidence, and the investigator has committed to attempting to contact a legally authorized representative for each subject within that window of time and, if feasible, to asking the legally authorized representative contacted for consent within that window rather than proceeding without consent. The investigator will summarize efforts made to contact legally authorized representatives and make this information available to the IRB at the time of continuing review.

- 6) The IRB has reviewed and approved informed consent procedures and an informed consent document consistent with Sections and of and Sections , and of . These procedures and the informed consent document are to be used with subjects or their legally authorized representatives in situations where use of such procedures and documents is feasible. The IRB has reviewed and approved procedures and information to be used when providing an opportunity for a family member to object to a subject's participation in the research consistent with paragraph (7)(v) of this section.
- 7) Additional protections of the rights and welfare of the subjects will be provided, including, at least:
 - a. Consultation (including, where appropriate, consultation carried out by the IRB) with representatives of the communities in which the research will be conducted and from which the subjects will be drawn.
 - b. Public disclosure to the communities in which the research will be conducted and from which the subjects will be drawn, prior to initiation of the clinical investigation, of plans for the investigation and its risks and expected benefits.
 - c. Public disclosure of sufficient information following completion of the research to apprise the community and investigators of the study, including the demographic characteristics of the research population, and its results.
 - d. Establishment of an independent data monitoring committee to exercise oversight of the research.
 - e. If obtaining informed consent is not feasible and a legally authorized representative is not reasonably available, the investigator has committed, if feasible, to attempting to contact within the therapeutic window the subject's family member who is not a legally authorized representative, and asking whether he or she objects to the subject's participation in the research. The investigator will summarize efforts made to contact family members and make this information available to the IRB at the time of continuing review.

In addition, the IRB is responsible for ensuring that procedures are in place to inform, at the earliest feasible opportunity, each subject, or if the subject remains incapacitated, a legally authorized representative of the subject, or if such a representative is not reasonably available, a family member, of the subject's inclusion in the research, the details of the research and other information contained in the informed consent document. The IRB shall also ensure that there is a procedure to inform the subject, or if the subject remains incapacitated, a legally authorized representative of the subject, or if such a representative is not reasonably available, a family member, that he or she may discontinue the subject's participation at any time without penalty or loss of benefits to which the subject is otherwise entitled. If a legally authorized representative or family member is told about the research and the subject's condition improves, the subject is also to be informed as soon as feasible. If a subject is entered into research with waived consent and the subject dies before a legally authorized representative or family member can be contacted, information about the research is to be provided to the subject's legally authorized representative or family member, if feasible.

11.11.2.1 FDA-regulated Planned Emergency Research

A licensed physician who is a member of or consultant to the IRB and who is not otherwise participating in the clinical investigation must concur that the conditions described in Section 11.11.2 are satisfied.

Studies involving an exception to the informed consent requirement under this section must be performed under a separate investigational new drug application (IND) or investigational device exemption (IDE) that clearly identifies that such studies may include subjects who are unable to consent. The submission of those studies in a separate IND/IDE is required even if an IND for the same drug product or an IDE for the same device already exists. Applications for investigations under this section may not be submitted as amendments under or .

If an IRB determines that it cannot approve a clinical investigation because the investigation does not meet the criteria in the exception provided in the regulations or because of other relevant ethical concerns, the IRB must document its findings and provide these findings promptly in writing to the clinical investigator and to the sponsor of the clinical investigation. The sponsor of the clinical investigation must promptly disclose this information to FDA and to the sponsor's clinical investigators who are participating or are asked to participate in this or a substantially equivalent clinical investigation of the sponsor, and to other IRB's that have been, or are, asked to review this or a substantially equivalent investigation by that sponsor. The IRB determinations and documentation required in Section 11.11.2 and this section are to be retained by the IRB for at least 3 years after completion of the clinical investigation, and the records shall be accessible for inspection and copying by FDA in accordance with

11.11.2.2 Planned Emergency Research Not Subject to FDA Regulations

The IRB responsible for the review, approval, and continuing review of the research has approved both the research and a waiver of informed consent and has (i) found and documented that the research *is not subject* to regulations codified by the FDA at , and (ii) found and documented and reported to the OHRP that the conditions required Section 11.11.2 have been met relative to the research.