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### As a reviewer are you:

- [ ] Yes  [ ] No An investigator, consultant, collaborator, or study personnel on the proposed study
- [ ] Yes  [ ] No Do you have a financial interest in the study?
- [ ] Yes  [ ] No Do you have any other conflict of interests with this study?

*If yes, DO NOT perform the review and contact the HRPP/IRB Office at 269.337.4345 or irb@med.wmich.edu*

---

### 1 GENERAL EXCLUSIONS FROM EXEMPTIONS (All must be “Yes”)

- [ ] Yes  [ ] No The research is not FDA-regulated.
- [ ] Yes  [ ] No The research does not involve prisoners as subjects except for research aimed at involving a broader population that only incidentally includes prisoners.
- [ ] Yes  [ ] No The research is consistent with the ethical principles in the Belmont Report.

### 2 THE RESEARCH FALLS INTO ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES (One or more categories must be checked)

- [ ] Category (1) Research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, involving normal educational practices that are not likely to adversely impact students’ opportunity to learn required educational content or the assessment of educators who provide instruction. This includes things, such as (i) research on regular and special education instructional strategies, or (ii) research on the effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods.  
  - (i) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects CANNOT readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; OR  
  - (ii) Any disclosure of the human subjects’ responses outside of the research would NOT reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects’ financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation; OR  
  - (iii) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects CAN be readily ascertained, directly or indirectly through identifiers linked to the subjects, AND an IRB conducts limited IRB review.  
    - There are adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data.

- [ ] Category (2) Research that only includes interactions involving educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior (including visual or auditory recording) if at least one of the following conditions is true:
  - (A) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the Human Subjects cannot readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; OR  
  - (B) Any disclosure of the Human Subjects’ responses outside of the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects’ financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation; OR  
  - (C) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects can be readily ascertained, directly or indirectly through identifiers linked to the subjects, AND an IRB conducts limited IRB review.  
    - There are adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data.

- [ ] Category (3)(ii) Research involving benign behavioral interventions in conjunction with the collection of information from an adult subject through verbal or written responses (including data entry) or audiovisual recording if the subject prospectively agrees to the intervention and information collection and at least one of the following criteria is met:
  - (A) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the Human Subjects cannot readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; OR  
  - (B) Any disclosure of the Human Subjects’ responses outside of the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects’ financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation; OR  
  - (C) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects can be readily ascertained, directly or indirectly through identifiers linked to the subjects, AND an IRB conducts limited IRB review.  
    - There are adequate provisions to protect the privacy of subjects and to maintain the confidentiality of data.

- [ ] Category (3)(i) If the research involves deceiving the subjects regarding the nature or purposes of the research, this exemption is not applicable unless the subject authorizes the deception through a prospective agreement to participate in research in circumstances in which the subject is informed that he or she will be unaware of or misled regarding the nature or purposes of the research.

- [ ] Category (4) Secondary research for which consent is not required: Secondary research uses of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens, if at least one of the following criteria is met:
  - (i) The identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens are publicly available; OR  
  - (ii) Information, which may include information about biospecimens, is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects cannot readily be ascertained directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, the investigator does not contact the subjects, and the investigator will not re-identify subjects; OR  
  - The research involves only information collection and analysis involving the investigator’s use of identifiable health information when that use is regulated by HIPAA for the purposes of “health care operations” or “research” or “public health activities and purposes”; OR  
  - The research is conducted by, or on behalf of a Federal department agency using government-generated or government-collected information obtained for non-research activities.
### CHECKLIST: Exempt Determination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300-080</td>
<td>01/21/2019</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Category (5) Research and demonstration projects which are conducted or supported by a Federal department or agency, or otherwise subject to the approval of Department or Agency heads (or the approval of heads of bureaus or other subordinate agencies that have been delegated authority to conduct the research and demonstration projects), and which are designed to study, evaluate, improve or otherwise examine: (i) Public benefit or service programs; (ii) procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs; (iii) possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures; or (iv) possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs. In addition:

- [ ] (i) Each Federal department or agency conducting or supporting the research and demonstration projects must establish, on a publicly accessible Federal website or in such other manner as the department or agency head may determine, a list of the research and demonstration projects that the Federal department or agency conducts or supports under this provision. The research or demonstration project must be published on this list prior to commencing the research involving human subjects.

#### Category (6) Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies, (i) if wholesome foods without additives are consumed or (ii) if a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe, or agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

#### Category (7) Storage or maintenance for secondary research for which broad consent is required: Storage or maintenance of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens for potential secondary research use if an IRB conducts limited IRB review.

#### Category (8) Secondary research for which broad consent is required: Research involving the use of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens for secondary research use.

### 3 THE RESEARCH MEETS THE ORGANIZATION’S ETHICAL STANDARDS

- **Yes**  **No** The research holds out no more than minimal risk\(^4\) to subjects. (Must be “Yes”)
- **Yes**  **No** Selection of subjects is equitable. (Must be “Yes”)
- **Yes**  **No** There is recording of identifiable information. (If “Yes,” all items below must be “Yes”)
  - **Yes**  **No** There are adequate provisions to maintain the confidentiality of the data.
  - **Yes**  **No** There are interactions with subjects: (If “Yes,” all items below must be “Yes”)
    - **Yes**  **No** There will be a consent process
    - **Yes**  **No** The consent process will disclose that the activities involve research.
    - **Yes**  **No** The consent process will disclose the procedures to be performed.
    - **Yes**  **No** The consent process will disclose that participation is voluntary.
    - **Yes**  **No** The consent process will disclose the name and contact information for the investigator.
    - **Yes**  **No** There are adequate provisions to maintain the privacy interests of subjects.

### 4 FINAL DETERMINATION

- **Yes**  **No** Modifications required to secure approval:
  - **The Human Research** would be exempt from IRB review and meet ethical criteria if the following modifications were made:
  - What is your final recommendation

Delineate modifications required to secure approval:

---

\(^4\) For the purpose of this provision, benign behavioral interventions are brief in duration, harmless, painless, not physically invasive, not likely to have a significant adverse lasting impact on the subjects, and the investigator has no reason to think the subjects will find the interventions offensive or embarrassing. Provided all such criteria are met, examples of such benign behavioral interventions would include having the subjects play an online game, having them solve puzzles under various noise conditions, or having them decide how to allocate a nominal amount of received cash between themselves and someone else.

\(^5\) **Minimal risk** means that the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research are not greater in and of themselves than those ordinarily encountered in daily life of normal persons or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests in normal persons.